SHAUGHNESSY NO.

REVIEW NO.

	PPD DEVIEW	
DATE: IN11/2	EEB REVIEW	APR 1 8 1986
DATE: IN11/2	25/85 OUT	
FILE OR REG. NO.	464-502	
PETITION OR EXP. PERMIT N	NO	
DATE OF SUBMISSION	07/11,	/85
DATE RECEIVED BY HED		" · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
RD REQUESTED COMPLETION D	OATE 01/23	/86
EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETION	DATE 01/19	/86
RD ACTION CODE/TYPE OF RE	VIEW 306	r kan kan di jinda ang kan kala di jinga ang kan kan yang proposasasayan sa magangangangangangan ya jinga jang
TYPE PRODUCT(S): I, D, H	, F, N, R, S	Herbicide
DATA ACCESSION NO(S)		entre en la companya de la companya
PRODUCT MANAGER NOR	. Taylor (25)	t service in the second contract of the secon
PRODUCT NAME(S)T		
•		
COMPANY NAME DOW	Chemical U.S.A.	
SUBMISSION PURPOSE Subm	ission of raw d	lata for acute and
chro	nic aquatic stu	udies in response
to p	revious EEB rev	view
SHAUGHNESSY NO. CH		
	and any control of the state of	
	<del>ngarangan pangangan pangangan pangangan pangangan pangangan pangangan pangangan pangangan pangangan pangangan</del>	



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

APR 1 8 1986

## MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Raw Data for Chronic Daphnia

and Rainbow Trout Study

FROM: M

Miachel Rexrode, Fishery Biologist

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

TO:

Robert Taylor, PM 25

Fungicide-Herbicide Branch

Registration Division (TS-767C)

THRU:

Norman Cook, Section Head

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

and

Michael Slimak, Chief

Ecological Effects Brand

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

The Ecological Effects Branch (EEB) reviewed two chronic studies (Rexrode, February 25, 1985) pertaining to Tordon K Salt Liquor. The studies (Daphnia life-cycle and rainbow trout early life-cycle) were evaluated as supplemental since only summary data were presented. However, in order to verify the registrant's conclusions, EEB requested that the appropriate raw data be provided. This information was recently submitted to the Agency (November 25, 1985) and analyzed with the following results.

noman Cook

- 1. Daphnia chronic toxicity testing for Tordon K Salt Liquor (93.8% ai).
  - a. Data on mean total young and mean brood size were analyzed by Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Appendix B and C).

An MATC between 11.8 and 18.1 mg/L was calculated, indicating that a significant ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) impact was found at toxicity levels of 18.1 mg/L. This agrees with the registrant's findings and confirms that the study appears to be scientifically sound and will support Registration.

Registration Category: Upgraded to Core.

- 2. Toxicity of Technical Picloram to the Embryo, Larval, and Juvenile Stages of the Rainbow Trout (Salmo gairdneri Richardson).
  - a. The replicate data were evaluated resulting in the following conclusions:
    - (1) Data on hatch and normal larvae indicate an insignificant difference between controls and treatments. The percent hatch ranged from 99.2 to 100. The percent of normal larval at hatch ranged from 95.8 to 97.5.
    - (2) Data on mean weights were analyzed by Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Appendix D). A well defined concentration response was noted at 0.88 mg/L and higher. An MATC between 0.55 mg/L and 0.88 mg/L was found to be in agreement with the registrant's findings.

Registration Category: Upgraded to Core.

## Reviewer's Conclusions:

Both the <u>Daphnia</u> chronic testing study and the rainbow trout embryolarvae study appear to be scientifically sound and will support registration. Picloram appears to be moderately toxic to rainbow trout larvae (0.55 mg/L > MATC > 0.88 mg/L) and practically nontoxic to <u>Daphnia</u> larvae (11.8 mg/L > MATC > 18.1 mg/L).

Picloram Ecological Effects Branch Review		
<u></u>		
Page is not included in this copy.		
Pages 4 through 10 are not included in this copy.		
The material not included contains the following type of information:		
Identity of product inert ingredients.		
Identity of product inert impurities.		
Description of the product manufacturing process.		
Description of product quality control procedures.		
Identity of the source of product ingredients.		
Sales or other commercial/financial information.		
A draft product label.		
The product confidential statement of formula.		
Information about a pending registration action		
X FIFRA registration data.		
The document is a duplicate of page(s)		
The document is not responsive to the request.		

The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.